

## UNITED STATES DETERTMENT OF COMMERCE

**Patent and Trademark Office** 

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/632,910

KENYON & KENYON ONE BROADWAY

NEW YORK NY 10004

08/04/00

TATSUMI

K

52433/609

MMC2/08147

EXAMINER

CHAMBLISS, A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2814

DATE MAILED:

08/14/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/632,910	09/632,910		TATSUMI ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Alonzo Cha		2814	 	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	n <u>04 August 2000</u>				
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is r	on-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□ (	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority doc</li> </ol>				40	
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1)  Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) ' No(s) <u>3</u> .	4) Interview Summa 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	ry (PTO-413) Paper N I Patent Application (F	No(s) PTO-152)	
L DATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PART	radamark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/632,910 Page 2

Art Unit: 2814

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-6 have been canceled.

#### **Priority**

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 09/254,119, filed on 4/16/99.

## Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement submitted on 8/4/00 was filed before the mailing date of the non-final rejection on 8/12/01. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the petition is granted and the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 7-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. In claims 7, 9-11, and 13-15, the phrase "a low melting point metal ball " is vague and indefinite since it is not clear what the metal ball is compared with to establish that the metal ball has a low melting point.

Application/Control Number: 09/632,910

Art Unit: 2814

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 7-15, **insofar as some of them can be understood**, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Okuyama (JP 4-65130).

With respect to Claims 7, 10, 11, 14, and 15, Okuyama teaches a semiconductor device comprising electrodes 6 formed on a chip 2 with bumps 8 each consisting of spherical metal ball having a melting point. The metal ball 8 has a given size that is adhesively bonded to the electrodes 6. The adhesive bonds the metal balls 8 to the electrode with a flux 7. The metal balls 8 undergo a process of reflowing (see English abstract and figures).

With respect to Claims 8 and 12, the flux 7 is applied to the electrode 6 (see Figs. 13 and 15).

With respect to Claims 9 and 13, a vacuum device that is connected to an air suction socket 33 is driven to reduce the pressure in a vacuum chamber 27. This causes the balls 8 to jump up into the base plate 15, 28-30. Therefore, it would be inherent from the vacuum device that a vibration takes place at a small amplitude to the vessel. The vessel holds and arranges the metal balls 8 on an arrangement base plate 15, 28-30 by attracting the jumping up of the metal balls 8 to attraction openings

Art Unit: 2814

provided in the arrangement base plate 15, 28-30. The attraction openings provided in the arrangement base plate 15, 28-30 correspond to positions of the electrodes 6 of the chip 2 to which the metal balls 8 are to be adhesively bonded. Excess metal balls are removed from the arrangement base plate. The metal balls 8 are simultaneously held and arranged on the arrangement base plate 15, 28-30 with the electrodes of the chip 2 (see English abstract and figures).

#### Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. It is cited primarily to show processes of packaging a semiconductor device, which are similar to the process of the instant invention.

Any inquiry concerning the communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alonzo Chambliss whose telephone number is (703) 306-9143. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722 or 7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-7956.

AC

AC/August 12, 2001

OLIK CHAUDHURI

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800